

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/668,428	RICCI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George R. Evanisko	3762	

All Participants:

 (1) George R. Evanisko.

 (2) Marvin Beekman.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 November 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11-16, 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

cancellation of claims 11-16 without prejudice due to an election by original presentation. In addition, the dependency of claims 18-20 were changed due to a minor claim objection since they should depend from claim 17.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)